

cial interests of the United States and its allies;

Whereas in the face of such action, Taiwan is entitled to defend itself from military aggression, including through the development of an anti-ballistic missile defense system;

Whereas the United States and Taiwan have enjoyed a longstanding and uninterrupted friendship, which has only increased in light of the remarkable economic development and political liberalization in Taiwan in recent years;

Whereas Taiwan has achieved tremendous economic success in becoming the 19th largest economy in the world;

Whereas Taiwan has reached a historic turning point in the development of Chinese democracy, as on March 23, 1996, it will conduct the first competitive, free, fair, direct, and popular election of a head of state in over 4,000 years of recorded Chinese history;

Whereas for the past century the United States has promoted democracy and economic freedom around the world, and the evolution of Taiwan is an outstanding example of the success of that policy;

Whereas the Taiwan Relations Act directs the President to inform the Congress promptly of any threat to Taiwan's security and provides that the President and the Congress shall determine, in accordance with constitutional processes, appropriate United States action in response; and

Whereas the Taiwan Relations Act of 1979 rests on the premise that the United States will assist Taiwan should it face any effort to determine its future by other than peaceful means, including by boycotts or embargoes: Now, therefore, be it;

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That it is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the People's Republic of China should immediately live up to its commitment to the United States to work for a peaceful resolution of any disagreements with Taiwan, and accordingly desist from military actions designed to intimidate Taiwan;

(2) the People's Republic of China should engage in negotiations to discuss any outstanding points of disagreement with Taiwan without any threat of military or economic coercion against Taiwan;

(3) Taiwan has stated and should adhere to its commitment to negotiate its future relations with the People's Republic of China by mutual decision, not unilateral action;

(4) the United States should maintain its capacity to resist any resort to force or other forms of coercion that would jeopardize the security, or the social or economic system, of the people on Taiwan, consistent with its undertakings in the Taiwan Relations Act;

(5) the United States should maintain a naval presence sufficient to keep open the sea lanes in and near the Taiwan Strait;

(6) in the face of the several overt military threats by the People's Republic of China against Taiwan, and consistent with the commitment of the United States under the Taiwan Relations Act, the United States should supply Taiwan with defensive weapons systems, including naval vessels, aircraft, and air defense, all of which are crucial to the security of Taiwan; and

(7) the United States, in accordance with the Taiwan Relations Act and the constitutional process of the United States, and consistent with its friendship with and commitment to the democratic government and people of Taiwan, should assist in defending them against invasion, missile attack, or blockade by the People's Republic of China.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HUTCHINSON, recognized Mr. GILMAN and Mr. HAMILTON, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House suspend the rules and agree to said concurrent resolution, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HUTCHINSON, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. SOLOMON objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HUTCHINSON, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed.

The point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.

¶30.14 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment bills of the House of the following titles:

H.R. 1266. An Act to provide for the exchange of lands within Admiralty Island National Monument, and for other purposes; and

H.R. 1787. An Act to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to repeal the saccharin notice requirement.

¶30.15 HOUSE ADMINISTRATIVE REFORM

Mr. ELHERS moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2739) to provide for a representational allowance for Members of the House of Representatives, to make technical and conforming changes to sundry provisions of law in consequence of administrative reforms in the House of Representatives, and for other purposes; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HUTCHINSON, recognized Mr. ELHERS and Mr. FAZIO, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. HUTCHINSON, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered. That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

¶30.16 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2202

Mr. DREIER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 384):

Resolved. That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2202) to amend

the Immigration and Nationality Act to improve deterrence of illegal immigration to the United States by increasing border patrol and investigative personnel, by increasing penalties for alien smuggling and for document fraud, by reforming exclusion and deportation law and procedures, by improving the verification system for eligibility for employment, and through other measures, to reform the legal immigration system and facilitate legal entries into the United States, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived except those arising under section 425(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed two hours to be equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill, modified by the amendment printed in part 1 of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. That amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. No other amendment shall be in order except the amendments printed in part 2 of the report of the Committee on Rules and amendments en bloc described in section 2 of this resolution. Each amendment printed in part 2 of the report may be considered only in the order printed, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment except as specified in the report, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against amendments made in order by this resolution are waived except those arising under section 425(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. The chairman of the Committee of the Whole may postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment. The chairman of the Committee of the Whole may reduce to not less than five minutes the time for voting by electronic device on any postponed question that immediately follows another vote by electronic device without intervening business, provided that the time for voting by electronic device on the first in any series of questions shall be not less than fifteen minutes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SEC. 2. It shall be in order at any time for the chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary or a designee to offer amendments en bloc consisting of amendments printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution that were not earlier disposed of or germane modifications of any such amendments. Amendments en bloc offered pursuant to this section shall be considered as read (except that modifications

shall be reported), shall be debatable for twenty minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their designees, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. For the purpose of inclusion in such amendments en bloc, an amendment printed in the form of a motion to strike may be modified to the form of a germane perfecting amendment to the text originally proposed to be stricken. The original proponent of an amendment included in such amendments en bloc may insert a statement in the Congressional Record immediately before the disposition of the amendments en bloc.

When said resolution was considered.

After debate,

Mr. DREIER moved the previous question on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House now order the previous question?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. RIGGS, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. BEILENSEN objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 233
Nays 152

¶30.17

[Roll No. 68]

YEAS—233

Allard	Cramer	Hastings (WA)
Archer	Crane	Hayworth
Armey	Crapo	Hefley
Bachus	Creameans	Heineman
Baker (CA)	Cubin	Herger
Baker (LA)	Cunningham	Hilleary
Ballenger	Davis	Hobson
Barr	Deal	Hoekstra
Barrett (NE)	DeLay	Horn
Bartlett	Diaz-Balart	Houghton
Barton	Dickey	Hunter
Bass	Doolittle	Hutchinson
Bateman	Dornan	Hyde
Bereuter	Dreier	Istook
Bevill	Duncan	Johnson (CT)
Bilbray	Dunn	Johnson, Sam
Bilirakis	Ehlers	Jones
Bliley	Ehrlich	Kasich
Blute	Emerson	Kelly
Boehlert	English	Kim
Boehner	Ensign	King
Bonilla	Everett	Kingston
Bono	Ewing	Klug
Boucher	Fields (TX)	Knollenberg
Brewster	Foley	Kolbe
Browder	Forbes	LaHood
Brownback	Fowler	Largent
Bunn	Fox	LaTourette
Bunning	Franks (CT)	Laughlin
Burr	Franks (NJ)	Lazio
Burton	Frelinghuysen	Leach
Buyer	Frisa	Lewis (CA)
Callahan	Funderburk	Lewis (KY)
Calvert	Gallegly	Lincoln
Camp	Ganske	Linder
Campbell	Gekas	Livingston
Canady	Geren	LoBiondo
Castle	Gilchrest	Lofgren
Chabot	Gillmor	Longley
Chambliss	Gilman	Lucas
Chenoweth	Goodlatte	Manzullo
Christensen	Goodling	McCollum
Clinger	Goss	McCrery
Coble	Graham	McDade
Coburn	Greenwood	McHugh
Collins (GA)	Gunderson	McInnis
Combest	Hall (TX)	McIntosh
Condit	Hancock	McKeon
Cooley	Hansen	Metcalfe
Cox	Hastert	Meyers

Mica
Miller (FL)
Molinari
Montgomery
Moorhead
Morella
Myers
Myrick
Nethercutt
Neumann
Ney
Norwood
Nussle
Oxley
Packard
Parker
Paxon
Petri
Pombo
Portman
Quillen
Quinn
Ramstad
Regula
Richardson
Riggs
Roberts
Rogers

Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Roth
Roukema
Royce
Salmon
Sanford
Saxton
Scarborough
Schaefer
Schiff
Seastrand
Sensenbrenner
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Solomon
Souder
Spence
Stearns

Stockman
Stump
Tate
Tauzin
Taylor (NC)
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Torkildsen
Traficant
Upton
Vucanovich
Waldholtz
Walsh
Wamp
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
White
Whitfield
Wicker
Wolf
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Zeliff
Zimmer

NAYS—152

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Andrews
Baesler
Baldacci
Barcia
Barrett (WI)
Becerra
Beilenson
Bentsen
Berman
Bonior
Borski
Brown (CA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Bryant (TX)
Cardin
Chapman
Clayton
Clement
Coleman
Collins (MI)
Conyers
Coyne
Danner
de la Garza
DeFazio
DeLauro
Deutsch
Dicks
Dingell
Dixon
Doggett
Dooley
Doyle
Edwards
Engel
Evans
Fattah
Fazio
Fields (LA)
Flake
Foglietta
Ford
Frank (MA)
Frost
Furse
Gedjenson
Gephardt
Gibbons

Gonzalez
Gordon
Green
Gutknecht
Hall (OH)
Hamilton
Harman
Hastings (FL)
Hefner
Hilliard
Hinchey
Holden
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee
(TX)
Jacobs
Jefferson
Johnson (SD)
Johnson, E. B.
Kanjorski
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Klecza
Klink
LaFalce
Lantos
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lowey
Luther
Manton
Markey
Martinez
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy
McDermott
McHale
McKinney
McNulty
Meek
Menendez
Miller (CA)
Minge
Mink
Mollohan
Moran
Murtha
Neal

Oberstar
Obey
Ortiz
Orton
Owens
Pallone
Pastor
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Peterson (MN)
Pickett
Pomeroy
Poshard
Rahall
Reed
Rivers
Roemer
Rose
Roybal-Allard
Sabo
Sanders
Sawyer
Schroeder
Schumer
Scott
Serrano
Sisisky
Skaggs
Spratt
Stark
Stenholm
Studds
Stupak
Tanner
Taylor (MS)
Tejeda
Thurman
Townes
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Volkmeyer
Ward
Watt (NC)
Williams
Wilson
Wise
Woolsey
Wynn
Yates

NOT VOTING—46

Bishop
Bryant (TN)
Chrysler
Clay
Clyburn
Collins (IL)
Costello
Dellums
Durbin
Eshoo
Farr
Fawell
Filner
Flanagan
Gutierrez
Hayes

Hoke
Hostettler
Hoyer
Inglis
Johnston
Kennedy (MA)
Latham
Lightfoot
Lipinski
Maloney
Martini
Meehan
Moakley
Nadler
Oliver
Peterson (FL)

Porter
Pryce
Radanovich
Rangel
Rush
Stokes
Talent
Thompson
Thornton
Torres
Torrice
Walker
Waters
Waxman

So the previous question on the resolution was ordered.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. RIGGS, announced that the yeas had it.

So the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶30.18 ORDER OF BUSINESS—

CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2202

On motion of Mr. DREIER, by unanimous consent,

Ordered. That during the consideration of the bill (H.R. 2202) to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to improve deterrence of illegal immigration to the United States by increasing border patrol and investigative personnel, by increasing penalties for alien smuggling and for document fraud, by reforming exclusion and deportation law and procedures, by improving the verification system for eligibility for employment, and through other measures, to reform the legal immigration system and facilitate legal entries into the United States, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 384, the designated proponents of the amendments numbered 11, 12, and 13, printed in part 2 of House Report 104-483, may offer said amendments in modified forms to accommodate the changes in the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary that are reflected in part 1 of said report and effected by the adoption of House Resolution 384; and

Ordered further. That the designated proponent of the amendment numbered 19, printed in part 2 of the House Report 104-483, may offer said amendment in a modified form that strikes from the title V all provisions except section 522 and subtitle D.

¶30.19 H.R. 2937—UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. RIGGS, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced the unfinished business to be the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2937) for the reimbursement of legal expenses and related fees incurred by former employees of the White House Travel Office with respect to the termination of their employment in that Office on May 19, 1993; as amended.

The question being put,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the { Yeas 350
affirmative Nays 43

¶30.20

[Roll No. 69]

YEAS—350

Abercrombie	Armey	Baldacci
Allard	Bachus	Ballenger
Andrews	Baker (CA)	Barcia
Archer	Baker (LA)	Barrett (NE)